

LAWRENCE G. WASDEN
Attorney General

JUDY L. GEIER – ISB No. 6559
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Department of Insurance
700 W. State Street, 3rd Floor
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0043
Telephone: (208) 334-4204
Facsimile: (208) 334-4298
judy.geier@doi.idaho.gov

FILED
MAR 19 2018
Department of Insurance
State of Idaho

Attorneys for the Department of Insurance

BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of:

AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE
COMPANY OF COLUMBUS,
NAIC No. 60380, Idaho Certificate of
Authority No. 916;

and

CONTINENTAL AMERICAN
INSURANCE COMPANY,
NAIC No. 71730, Idaho Certificate of
Authority No. 3433.

Docket No. 18-3458-18

**ORDER ADOPTING
REGULATORY SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT**

On or about December 20, 2017, the Idaho Department of Insurance (“**Department**”) received notice of a proposed regulatory settlement agreement involving American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus and Continental American Insurance Company (collectively, the “**Companies**”). The Director of the Department (“**Director**”), having reviewed the same, makes the following findings and conclusions:

1. The Director has jurisdiction in the state of Idaho over matters involving insurance regulation, pursuant to the Idaho Insurance Code, Idaho Code § 41-101 *et seq.*

2. AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF COLUMBUS is a Nebraska-domiciled insurer holding Idaho Certificate of Authority No. 916 and is authorized to transact insurance in the state of Idaho.

3. CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY is a Nebraska-domiciled insurer holding Idaho Certificate of Authority No. 3433 and is authorized to transact insurance in the state of Idaho.

4. The insurance regulators for the states of California, Florida, New Hampshire, North Dakota, and Pennsylvania (the “**Lead States**”) undertook a multi-state market conduct examination of the Companies’ settlement practices, procedures, and policy administration relating to claims and the use of the Social Security Death Master File or similar database or service, including the Companies’ efforts to identify the owners and beneficiaries of unclaimed proceeds, and identified certain concerns.

5. The Companies deny any wrongdoing or violations of law.

6. Based on a mutual desire to resolve the examination and any claims the Lead States have asserted or may assert with respect to the Companies’ claim settlement practices, the parties have entered into a Regulatory Settlement Agreement (“**Agreement**”), which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

7. The Director finds that the terms of the Agreement are appropriate and adoption of the Agreement is in the best interests of the state of Idaho.

8. The Director having signed the Participating Regulator Adoption Form, attached hereto as Exhibit 2, on January 4, 2018, and the Agreement having become effective on January

11, 2018, based on its adoption by a requisite number of jurisdictions, the Director now wishes to memorialize the Agreement by entry of this order.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Agreement is hereby approved, adopted, and fully incorporated herein by reference. The Companies shall comply with all terms and conditions of the Agreement in accordance with its provisions.

DATED this 16 day of March, 2018.

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE


DEAN L. CAMERON
Director

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

This Order constitutes a final order of the Director. Any party may file a motion for reconsideration of this final order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The Director will dispose of the petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. *See*, Idaho Code § 67-5246(4).

Pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 67-5270 and 67-5272, any party aggrieved by this final order may appeal it by filing a petition for judicial review in the district court of the county in which: (1) the hearing was held; or (2) the final agency action was taken; or (3) the aggrieved party resides or operates its principal place of business in Idaho; or (4) the real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency decision is located. An appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days of: (a) the service date of this final order; or (b) an order denying a petition for

reconsideration; or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration, whichever is later. *See*, Idaho Code § 67-5273. The filing of a petition for judicial review does not itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 19th day of March, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing ORDER ADOPTING REGULATORY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT to be served upon the following by the designated means:

American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus
1932 Wynnton Road
Columbus, GA 31999-0001

- ☒ first class mail
- ☐ certified mail
- ☐ hand delivery
- ☐ via facsimile

Continental American Insurance Company
P.O. Box 427
Columbia, SC 29202-0427

- ☒ first class mail
- ☐ certified mail
- ☐ hand delivery
- ☐ via facsimile

California Department of Insurance
Dave Jones, Commissioner
300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1700
Sacramento, CA 95814

- ☒ first class mail
- ☐ certified mail
- ☐ hand delivery
- ☐ via facsimile

Florida Office of Insurance Regulation
David Altmaier, Commissioner
J. Edwin Larson Building
200 East Gaines Street, Rm. 101A
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0305

- ☒ first class mail
- ☐ certified mail
- ☐ hand delivery
- ☐ via facsimile

New Hampshire Insurance Department
Roger A. Sevigny, Commissioner
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 14
Concord, NH 03301

- ☒ first class mail
- ☐ certified mail
- ☐ hand delivery
- ☐ via facsimile

North Dakota Insurance Department
John Godfread, Commissioner
600 E. Boulevard Avenue, 5th Floor
Bismarck, ND 58505-0320

☒ first class mail
☐ certified mail
☐ hand delivery
☐ via facsimile

Pennsylvania Insurance Department
Jessica Altman, Commissioner
1326 Strawberry Square, 13th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

☒ first class mail
☐ certified mail
☐ hand delivery
☐ via facsimile

Judy L. Geier
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Department of Insurance
700 W. State Street, 3rd Floor
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0043
judy.geier@doi.idaho.gov

☐ first class mail
☐ certified mail
☐ hand delivery
☐ via facsimile
☒ via email


Pamela Murray

REGULATORY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Regulatory Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus, Continental American Insurance Company ("CAIC") and each of their predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to herein as the "Company" or "AFLAC"); the California Department of Insurance, Florida Office of Insurance Regulation, New Hampshire Insurance Department, North Dakota Insurance Department, and Pennsylvania Insurance Department as Lead States ("Lead States") in the multistate targeted market conduct examination of the Company called on November 30, 2012 (the "Multi-State Examination"); and the insurance departments executing a Participating State Adoption in the form set forth on Schedule B (the "Participating States") (the Lead States and Participating States are collectively referred to herein as the "Departments") (the "Departments" and Company are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Departments have regulatory jurisdiction over the business of insurance conducted in their respective jurisdictions, including the authority to conduct market conduct examinations;

WHEREAS, the Departments are the Lead and Participating States in the Multi-State Examination, that was called to assess the Company's settlement practices, procedures and policy administration relating to claims, and the use of the Social Security Death Master File or similar database or service, including the Company's efforts to identify the owners and beneficiaries of unclaimed Proceeds;

WHEREAS, based upon the information gathered to date, the Departments have identified concerns regarding the adequacy of the Company's policies and procedures to ensure that life insurance policies are timely paid out to Beneficiaries, and are timely reported or remitted in accordance with the Unclaimed Property Laws and the Insurance Laws;

WHEREAS, the Company denies any wrongdoing or activities that violate any Insurance Laws in the jurisdiction of each Department or any other applicable laws, but in view of the complex issues raised and the probability that long-term litigation and/or administrative proceedings would be required to resolve the disputes between the Parties hereto, the Company and the Departments desire to resolve all claims that the Departments have asserted or may assert with respect to the Company's claim settlement practices;

WHEREAS, the Company has cooperated with the Departments and its examiners in the course of the Multi-State Examination by making its books and records available for examination, and its personnel and agents available to assist as requested by the Departments and the Company represents that at all times relevant to this Agreement, the Company and its officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives acted in good faith; and

EXHIBIT

tabbies

WHEREAS, the Company represents that it has a voluntary program to run "Insured" information against the DMF and use a good faith effort to locate Insureds and Beneficiaries.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Defined Terms.** Those capitalized terms in this Agreement not otherwise defined in the text shall have the following meanings:

- a. "Beneficiary" or "Beneficiaries" means the party or parties entitled or contingently entitled to receive the benefits from a Policy.
- b. "Company Records" means in-force and lapsed Policy information maintained on the Company's administrative systems or the administrative systems of any third-party retained by the Company, as opposed to such information being maintained by a group life insurance customer or some other third party retained by the group customer. Company Records does not include lapsed Policies that have been compared against the DMF for eighteen (18) months following the lapse of the applicable Policy.
- c. "Date of Death" means the date on which an Insured has died.
- d. "Date of Death Notice" means the date the Company first has notice of the Date of Death of an Insured. For purposes of this Agreement notice shall include information provided in (1) the DMF, (2) third party vendors' data obtained through the use of search and locator tools, or (3) any other source of information or record obtained by Company that is or has been maintained or located in Company Records.
- e. "DMF" means a version of the United States Social Security Administration's Death Master File. For purposes of paragraph 2; "DMF" shall include resources from third party vendors with comparable services, whose data is at least as comprehensive as the United States Social Security Administration's Death Master File and who have been retained by the Company to assist the Company in meeting the requirements of this Agreement.
- f. "DMF Match" means a match of an Insured contained in the Company Records to a unique biological individual listed in the DMF under the criteria provided in the attached Schedule A.
- g. "Effective Date" means the date this Agreement has been executed by the Company, each of the Lead States and the Departments of at least thirteen (13) Participating States.
- h. "Exception" means a fact situation described below which serves to exclude the

Proceeds from payment to a beneficiary or escheatment to a state as a result of a DMF Match for death benefits under a Policy: (a) the individual identified in the Date of Death Notice as the Insured is either alive or not the Insured; (b) the Policy was not in force at the Date of Death; (c) there is no death benefit due and payable upon death due to, among other things: (i) the application of a contestability period provision, (ii) the existence of an exclusionary event, or (iii) pending litigation; (d) the beneficiary is a minor and unable to accept payment of the death benefit under the applicable Uniform Transfer to Minors Act; (e) the death indicated was the first of two Insureds to die under a second-to-die policy; (f) the dormancy period has not expired; (g) claims received under non-Recordkeeper group life insurance (including group life insurance issued where the Company lacks and/or is unable to obtain sufficient information necessary to determine that a life insurance benefit is due or is unable to determine the benefit amount without contacting a third party); and (h) the full value of any benefits due and payable upon death has in fact been remitted to the Beneficiary or reported and remitted as Unclaimed Property to the affected jurisdiction(s);

- i. **"Future Settlement Agreement"** means any agreement entered into by any other insurer and the Departments concerning the subject matter of this Agreement.
- j. **"Insurance Laws"** means the insurance laws, rules and regulations in effect in each of the Department's jurisdictions.
- k. **"Insured"** means an individual identified in a Policy whose death obligates the Company to pay "Proceeds" if other contract conditions that are consistent with law and the Policy are satisfied.
- l. **"Policy"** means any individual life policy or endowment policy, or group life insurance policy or certificate of life insurance, for which the Company performs "Recordkeeping" services, and provides a death benefit. The term "Policy" shall not include credit or mortgage life insurance policies or certificates issued thereunder, Corporate, Bank, and Institutional Owned policies for which the beneficiary is the policy owner and there are no other known individual beneficiaries, other group life insurance policies or certificates issued thereunder where the Company does not perform Recordkeeping functions; or any benefits payable under accidental death or health coverages including but not limited to disability and long term care arising from the reported death of a person insured under such coverages.
- m. **"Proceeds"** means the benefits payable under a Policy.
- n. **"Recordkeeping"** means the information contained in the Company's records necessary to process a claim, including without limitation, the Insured's full

name, address, date of birth, telephone number, Social Security Number, coverage eligibility, premium payment status, benefit amount and Beneficiary's information, including without limitation, the Beneficiary's full name, address, date of birth, telephone number and Social Security Number.

o. "Thorough Search" means the minimum Company efforts to identify, locate and contact the Beneficiaries of a Policy after receiving a Date of Death Notice that indicates that the Insured has been reported as dead, which shall include:

- i. The Company shall use its best efforts, as described in paragraphs ii. through vii. below, to identify the Beneficiary and determine a current address for the Beneficiary based upon the Company Records, including, but not limited to, internal databases;
- ii. The Company shall make at least two (2) attempts to contact the Beneficiary in writing at the address in (i) above; provided that, if such writing is returned as undeliverable, the Company will not be required to send any additional mailings to that address and will within thirty (30) days conduct research to locate a more updated or accurate address using online search or locator tools, such as Lexis Nexis, Accurint or other comparable databases;
- iii. If the Company obtains an updated address using online search or locator tools as described in (ii) above, the Company shall make at least two (2) attempts in writing to contact the Beneficiary at that address;
- iv. In the event that no response is received to the writings sent pursuant to (ii) and (iii) above, or a writing sent pursuant to (ii) and (iii) above is returned as undeliverable, the Company shall attempt to contact the Beneficiary at least two (2) times at the most current telephone number contained in the Company's Records, if such a telephone number exists in the Company Records, or obtained by the Company by an online search or locator tool;
- v. In the event that no response has been received to the attempted contacts described above, the Company shall attempt to contact the Beneficiary at the most current available email address in Company Records, if any;
- vi. In the event that no response has been received to the attempted contacts described above, the Company shall engage a nationally recognized database service to update addresses in order to check for a more current address for the Beneficiary and send a third and final letter to the Beneficiary at the address found by that database service

by first class mail; and

- vii. The Company shall maintain documentation of all its Thorough Search efforts.

If the value of a policy, contract, or account is *de minimis* (defined as \$100 or less), the Company may satisfy its obligations to conduct a Thorough Search by making at least one (1) attempt to contact the Beneficiary or Beneficiaries by mail at the address indicated in the Company Records, or, if the Company Records do not identify a Beneficiary and address, may report and remit the funds to the affected jurisdiction(s) in accordance with the Unclaimed Property Laws.

Notwithstanding the forgoing, the Company's obligation to conduct a Thorough Search shall cease upon documented contact with a Beneficiary.

- p. "Unclaimed Property" means property subject to state Unclaimed Property Laws.
- q. "Unclaimed Property Audit Agreements" means (i) the Global Resolution Agreements between the Company, Unclaimed Property regulators, and Verus Financial LLC, Xerox State and Local Solutions, Inc. d/b/a Xerox Unclaimed Property Clearinghouse or Kelmar Associates, LLC and (ii) the agreement between the Company and the Florida Department of Financial Services.
- r. "Unclaimed Property Laws" means the Laws, Rules and Regulations regulating unclaimed property in each of the Departments' jurisdictions that apply to insurance companies as holders of Unclaimed Property.

2. Specific Business Practices and Reforms. The Company will hereby institute the following policies and procedures:

- a. The Company represents that it has compared all Insureds in its Company Records against the complete DMF annually since December 2012. Following the Effective Date, the Company will continue to compare all Insureds in its Company Records against the complete DMF annually, unless a more frequent search requirement is imposed pursuant to state law, in which case, it will comply with the frequency imposed by state law. The Company shall have no responsibility for errors, omissions or delays in information contained in the DMF or any update files. The Company shall use the comparison criteria specified in Schedule A.
- b. If the Company is not contacted by a Beneficiary within one hundred twenty (120) days from its receipt of a Date of Death Notice, the Company shall

promptly commence a Thorough Search, which shall be completed within one (1) year from the Date of Death Notice. If (i) the Beneficiary cannot be located by a Thorough Search and (ii) the Company is unable to establish an Exception, it shall report and remit the Proceeds as Unclaimed Property to the affected jurisdiction(s) in accordance with the applicable jurisdiction's Unclaimed Property Laws. A bona fide dispute concerning the application of any jurisdiction's Unclaimed Property Laws shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement.

- c. For the sole purpose of this Agreement, the Company shall implement policies and procedures to establish that a DMF Match shall require the Company to initiate its death claims process and conduct a Thorough Search for Beneficiaries in accordance with Section 2(b) of this Agreement. Nothing herein is intended nor shall be deemed to waive or determine the requirements for establishing proof of death for any other purpose, or to confer any rights on any party other than the Company and the Departments.
- d. In the event that one of the Company's line of business conducts a search for matches of its Insureds against the DMF at intervals more frequent than those provided for in this Agreement and such DMF Match results in action being taken with respect to a Policy then that line of business shall share the relevant Insured information among other lines of business.
- e. In the event that the Company locates the Beneficiary following a Thorough Search, the Company shall provide the appropriate claim forms or instructions, if required, to the Beneficiary to make a claim, including instructions as to the need to provide an official death certificate if consistent with law and the Policy. The Company reserves the right to require satisfactory confirmation of death, including but not limited to a death certificate, as due proof of death, before Proceeds are paid to a Beneficiary or a Beneficiary's legal representative if consistent with law and the Policy. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to supersede the Company's right to maintain effective procedures and resources to deter and investigate fraudulent insurance acts as required by applicable law.
- f. The Company shall implement policies and procedures for conducting a Thorough Search. The obligation to conduct a Thorough Search under the terms of this Agreement shall not abrogate the right of the Company to complete any due diligence within the timeframe required by any applicable law. The Company is required to implement the procedures as soon as possible and in coordination with the Unclaimed Property Audit Agreements, but in no event more than 12 months from the Effective Date.
- g. To the extent permitted under applicable law, the Company may disclose the minimum necessary personal information about an Insured or Beneficiary to

a person whom the Company reasonably believes may be able to assist the Company locate the Insured or Beneficiary or a person otherwise entitled to payment of the claims Proceeds, provided however, the Company shall not implement policies or practices that will or may diminish the rights of, or amounts of Proceeds due to, Beneficiaries under its Policies.

- h. The Company shall conduct a Thorough Search for group life insurance policies, including group life insurance certificates issued thereunder, where a group life insurance claim is received for which the Company, from information in its administrative systems and/or the group policy claim form, is able to determine that a benefit is due and is able to determine the benefit amount, but the beneficiary cannot be identified and/or located.
- i. Within twelve (12) months after the Effective Date of this Agreement, the Company shall establish policies and procedures to ensure that prior to the delivery of a Policy, and upon any change of a Beneficiary, the Company shall, having made all appropriate filings in a timely manner and obtained approvals where necessary, request information sufficient to facilitate the (i) payment of all Proceeds to Beneficiaries upon the death of the Insured pursuant to the contractual terms of the life policy that are consistent with law and, and (ii) perfection of a claim, including, at a minimum, the name, address, date of birth, and social security number and telephone number of every Insured and Beneficiary of such Policy.

3. **Regulatory Oversight.** Each of the Departments shall maintain independent regulatory oversight over the Company's compliance with the terms of this Agreement and in furtherance thereof, the Company agrees to the following:

- a. For a period of thirty-six (36) months following the Effective Date, the Company shall provide to the Lead States quarterly reports on the implementation and execution of the requirements of this Agreement. Each report shall be pursuant to the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation's interactive reporting system and be delivered to each of the Lead States within forty-five (45) days following the end of the applicable reporting period. Copies of these reports will also be made available to a Department's designated examiner, upon reasonable request, to allow it to assist the Departments in monitoring compliance with the requirements of this Agreement.
- b. Thirty-nine (39) months following the Effective Date the Lead States shall conduct a Multi-State Examination of Company's compliance with the requirements of this Agreement. The Lead States shall provide a report summarizing the results of that examination to Company and Departments. The

examination shall be performed with the cost of the examination to be borne by Company in accordance with the Lead States' respective laws.

- c. The Company may petition a Department to terminate or modify this Agreement in that jurisdiction. Such petition may include, but not be limited to the following grounds: (i) the Agreement's terms, in whole or in part, are inconsistent with the statutes, rules, or regulations then in effect in that jurisdiction; or (ii) by three (3) years from the Effective Date of this Agreement, Future Settlement Agreements have not been entered into with companies possessing substantial market share. A Department shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to the relief requested by the Company in its petition. Once made by the Company, the Multi-State Examination Payment, as allocated to each Department, is final and non-recoverable under any circumstances including termination of this Agreement.
- d. In addition to the payments set forth in Paragraph 5, the reasonable costs and expenses of the Departments related to the monitoring of the Company's compliance with the Agreement, including the costs and expenses of conducting any reviews or examinations permitted by the Agreement, as well as participating in any meetings, presentations or discussions with the Company, shall be borne by the Company as costs of the Multi-State Examination.
- e. If the jurisdiction of any Department adopts any Insurance Law addressing insurance companies' use of the DMF (or its equivalent) in connection with insurance companies' procedures concerning the payment of Proceeds to Beneficiaries, then the Company's compliance with the terms of such Insurance Law of that jurisdiction after the Effective Date of this Agreement shall be deemed to comply with those terms of this Agreement (i) which relate solely to the use of the DMF; and (ii) for the purposes of compliance herewith for that jurisdiction alone.
- f. The monitoring of the Company for compliance with the terms of this Agreement constitutes an ongoing examination by each of the Departments in accordance with the laws of its jurisdiction. Consistent with applicable law, each Department shall accord confidential treatment to the work papers, recorded information, documents, copies of work papers, and documents produced by, obtained by or disclosed by Company.
- g. No later than five (5) years following the Effective Date, the Lead States will complete the Multi-State Examination with a final review concerning the Company's compliance with the Agreement. If that review confirms that the Company has fulfilled its obligations under the Agreement, the Multi-State Examination will be closed. The Agreement will terminate eight (8) years following the Effective Date (the "Termination Date"), contingent upon closure of the Multi-State Examination and the Company's submission of its

prospective policies and procedures for DMF matching and Beneficiary outreach to be used thereafter. This submission shall be made to the Lead States six (6) calendar months prior to the Termination Date.

4. Company Covenants. The Company covenants and agrees with each of the Departments as follows:

- a. Proceeds under a Policy shall be determined in accordance with the Policy terms.
- b. Beneficiaries shall not be charged for any fees or costs associated with a search or verification conducted pursuant to this Agreement.
- c. The Company shall comply with and perform each and every term and condition set forth in the Unclaimed Property Audit Agreement.

5. Multi-State Examination Payment. Without admitting any liability whatsoever, the Company agrees to pay the Departments the sum of \$ \$350,000 (the "Payment") for the examination, compliance and monitoring costs incurred by the Departments associated with the Multi-State Examination. The Lead States shall be responsible for allocating the Payment among the Departments. To be eligible to participate in the Payment allocation, a Department must sign the Agreement. The Company agrees to remit the Payment within ten (10) business days after the later of the Effective Date or the receipt of the allocation from the Lead Departments. Upon the receipt of the Payment, as allocated by each of the Departments, the Company's financial obligations incurred by the Departments arising out of the Multi-State Examination will be fully satisfied, except as set forth in Paragraph 3d. The Payment shall be in addition to the Company's obligation to reimburse the Lead States for reasonable third-party expenses, including expenses for consultants, incurred in connection with the Lead States' role in the Multi-State Examination.

6. Miscellaneous.

- a. This Agreement is an agreement solely between the named Parties as defined above, and no other person or entity shall be deemed to obtain or possess any enforceable rights against the Company as a third party beneficiary or otherwise as a result of this Agreement. The Parties agree that this Agreement is not intended to and shall not confer any rights upon any other person or entity and shall not be used for any other purpose. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to provide for a private right of action to any person or entity not a Party to this Agreement. Nor shall the Agreement be deemed to create any intended or incidental third party beneficiaries, and the matters herein shall remain within the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the Departments.

- b. This Agreement does not impair, restrict, suspend, or disqualify the Company from engaging in any lawful business in any jurisdiction, based upon, or arising out of, the Multi-State Examination regarding any alleged act or omission of the Company; provided that all matters set forth in this Agreement shall remain with the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the Departments.
- c. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties regarding the Company's claims settlement practices, procedures, policy administration relating to the matching of Insureds against the DMF and that there are no other understandings or agreements, verbal or otherwise, between the Parties, except as set forth herein. In entering into this Agreement, no Party has relied on a representation not set forth herein.
- d. Neither this Agreement, nor any of the communications or negotiations leading up to this Agreement, nor any actions taken or documents executed in connection with this Agreement, is now or may be deemed in the future to be an admission or evidence of any liability or wrongdoing by the Company or any of its current or former affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, employees, agents, or representatives with respect to the subject matter of the Multi-State Examination.
- e. Subject to the Company's performance of and substantial compliance with the terms and conditions in this Agreement and Schedules each Department hereby releases the Company from any and all claims, demands, interest, penalties, actions or causes of action that each Department may have by reason of any matter, cause or thing whatsoever, regarding or relating to the subject matter of the Multi-State Examination; provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Lead States from conducting subsequent Multi-State Examinations to assess the Company's compliance with this Agreement.
- f. In the event that any portion of this Agreement is enjoined or held invalid under the laws of a Department's jurisdiction, such enjoined or invalid portion shall be deemed to be severed only for the duration of the injunction, if applicable, and only with respect to that Department and its jurisdiction, and all remaining provisions of this Agreement shall be given full force and effect and shall not in any way be affected thereby.
- g. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission of any party's position as to the preemptive effect of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as periodically amended, or the law of the jurisdiction as applied to employment based plans.
- h. This Agreement shall not be construed to allow or require the Company to implement policies or practices that will or may diminish the rights or the Proceeds due to Beneficiaries under the terms of its Policies.

- i. To the extent that any laws, rules, or regulations are enacted in the State of a Department's jurisdiction or are adopted by any Department, or a regulatory agency of a Department that conflict with any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, then the application of those affected terms and conditions shall be superseded by such laws, rules or regulations as it applies to that Department, provided that all other unaffected terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
 - j. Nothing in this Agreement shall abrogate the obligations of the Company under any jurisdiction's Unclaimed Property Audit Agreement.
 - k. The Parties represent and warrant that the person executing this Agreement on behalf of each Party has the legal authority to bind the Party to the terms of this Agreement.
 - l. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts. A true and correct copy of the Agreement shall be enforceable the same as an original.
 - m. All legal notices and demands to the Company under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be addressed to: 1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999, Attn: General Counsel
7. **Enforcement.** The failure to substantially comply with any provision of this Agreement shall constitute a breach of the Agreement, a violation of an Order of the Departments and a violation of Company's Agreement with the Departments, and shall subject Company to such administrative and enforcement actions and penalties as each Department deems appropriate, consistent with each Department's respective laws, except to the extent that the non-compliance is a result of performance or non-performance on the part of regulatory bodies which have not acted on filings necessary for compliance with the terms of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF THE PARTIES HAVE EXECUTED THIS AGREEMENT
AS OF THE DATE SET FORTH AFTER EACH OF THEIR NAMES.

[SIGNATURE PAGES IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW]

COMPANIES SIGNATURE PAGE

AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF COLUMBUS
CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

By: Audrey B. Tillman Date: 11/29/17
Audrey Tillman

Its: Executive Vice President, General Counsel

Lead States Signature Page

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

BY: David Altmaier
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12/13/17

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

BY: Dave Jones
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12-01-2017

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

Lead States Signature Page

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

BY: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

BY: Dave Jones
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12-01-2017

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: Jon Godfread
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12/6/17

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

Lead States Signature Page

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

BY: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

BY: *Dave Jones*
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12-01-2017

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: *Jessica K. Altman*
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12/05/2017

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

Lead States Signature Page

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

BY: _____
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

BY: *Dave Jones*
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12-01-2017

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: _____
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

DATE _____

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BY: *Roger A. Sevigny*
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

DATE 12-19-17

SCHEDULE A
RULES FOR IDENTIFYING DEATH MATCHES

In comparing Company's records of its insureds against the DMF and any updates thereto, the governing principle to be followed shall be establishing whether or not a unique biological individual identified within the Company's data is the same as a unique biological individual identified on the DMF in a case where a benefit is due and payable. In comparing the Company's records of its insureds against the DMF, the Company shall utilize the rules set forth below as the minimum standard for determining what constitutes a match.

Category 1: Exact Social Security Number Match occurs when the Social Security Number contained in the data found in the Company Records matches exactly to a Social Security Number contained in the DMF.

Category 2: Non-Social Security Number Match occurs in any of the following circumstances:

1. The Social Security Number contained in the data found in the Company's records matches in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed below to the Social Security Number contained in the DMF, the First and Last Names match either exactly or in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed below and the Date of Birth matches exactly.
2. The Company's records do not include a Social Security Number or where the Social Security Number is incomplete (less than 7 digits) or otherwise invalid (e.g., 111111111, 999999999, 123456789), and there is a First Name, Last Name, and Date of Birth combination in the data produced by the Company that is a match against the data contained in the DMF where the First and Last Names match either exactly or in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed below and the Date of Birth matches exactly, subject to paragraph 3 immediately below.
3. If there is more than one potentially matched individual returned as a result of the process described in paragraphs 1 and 2 immediately above, or if both the Social Security Number and Date of Birth found in the Company's Records match in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed below, then the Company shall run the Social Security Numbers obtained from the DMF for the potential matched individuals against Accurant for Insurance or an equivalent database. If a search of those databases shows that the Social Security Number is listed at the address in the Company's records for the insured, then a Category 2 Match will be considered to have been made only for individuals with a matching address.
4. If the Company's systems do not contain a complete "Date of Birth," then a "Date of

Birth" exact match will be found to exist where the data that is available on the Company's systems does not conflict with the data contained in the DMF. By way of example, if the Company's systems only contain a month and year of birth, an exact "Date of Birth" match will exist if the DMF record contains the same month and year of birth. Additionally, if the Company's systems only contain a year of birth or contain a complete date of birth that includes a month and day of 1/1 (e.g., January 1) followed by a year of birth, the Date of Birth will be deemed to match exactly where the year of birth in the data that is available on the Company's systems is within one (1) year of the year of birth listed in the DMF. By way of example, if the Company's systems contain 1/1/1934, an "exact" Date of Birth match will exist if the DMF record contains a year of birth of 1933, 1934 or 1935.

5. Additionally, if the Company's systems only contain a year of birth or contain a complete date of birth that includes a month and day of 1/1 (i.e., January 1) followed by a year of birth, the Date of Birth will be deemed to match exactly where the year of birth in the data that is available on the Company's systems is within one (1) year of the year of birth listed in the DMF. By way of example, if the Company's systems contain 1/1/1934, an "exact" Date of Birth Match will exist if the DMF record contains a birth of 1933, 1934, or 1935.

Fuzzy Match Criteria:

1. A First Name fuzzy match includes one or more of the following:
 - a. "First Name" "Nick Names:" "JIM" and "JAMES." The Company shall utilize a Nickname database, such as the pd Nickname database from Peacock Data, Inc. or an equivalent database, as well as publicly available lists of names and nicknames to identify matching First Names where a nickname is used on one or both sides of the match.
 - b. "Initial" instead of full first name: "J FOX" and "JAMES FOX."
 - c. "Metaphone" (a recognized and accepted phonetic name matching algorithm created by Lawrence Philips and originally published in 1990): "BUDDY" and "BUDDIE."
 - d. Data entry mistakes with a maximum difference of one character for a First Name at least five characters in length: "HARRIETTA" and "HARRIETA."
 - e. If First Name is provided together with Last Name in a "Full Name" format and "First Name" and "Last Name" cannot be reliably distinguished from one another: "ROBERT JOSEPH," Both "JOSEPH ROBERT" and "ROBERT JOSEPH."

- f. Use of interchanged "First Name" and "Middle Name"; "ALBERT E GILBERT" and "EARL A GILBERT."
- g. Compound "First Name;" "SARAH JANE" and "SARAH," or "MARY ANN" and "MARY."
- h. Use of "MRS." + "HUSBAND'S First Name + Last Name;" "MRS. DAVID KOOPER" and "BERTHA KOOPER" where the "Date of Birth" and "Social Security Number" match exactly and the Last Name matches exactly or in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed herein.

2. A "Last Name" fuzzy match includes one or more of the following:

- a. "Anglicized" forms of last names: "MACDONALD" and "MCDONALD."
- b. Compound last name: "SMITH" and "SMITH-JONES."
- c. Blank spaces in last name: "VON HAUSEN" and "VONHAUSEN."
- d. "Metaphone" (a recognized and accepted phonetic name matching algorithm created by Lawrence Phillips and originally published in 1990): "GONZALEZ" and "GONZALES."
- e. If First Name is provided together with Last Name in a "Full Name" format and "First Name" and "Last Name" cannot be reliably distinguished from one another: "ROBERT JOSEPH." Both "JOSEPH ROBERT" and "ROBERT."
- f. Use of apostrophe or other punctuation characters in "Last Name;" "O'NEAL" and "ONEAL."
- g. Data entry mistakes with a maximum difference of one (1) character for Last Name with at least eight (8) characters in length: "MACHIAVELLI" and "MACHIAVELI."
- h. Last Name Cut-off: A match will be considered to have been made where due to the length of the Last Name, some of the last letters were not saved in the database. Examples include: "Brezzinnows" and "Brezzinnowski" and "Tohightower" and "Tohightowers."
- i. Married Female "Last Name" Variations: A fuzzy "Last Name" match will be considered to have been made even though the data does not match

on the last name of a female, if the "Date of Birth" and "Social Security Number" match exactly and the First Name matches exactly or in accordance with the Fuzzy Match Criteria listed herein.

3. "Social Security Number" fuzzy match includes one of the following:
 - a. Two (2) Social Security Numbers with a maximum of two (2) digits in difference, any number position: "123456789" and "123466781."
 - b. Two (2) consecutive numbers are transposed: "123456789" and "123457689"
 - c. If a Social Security Number is less than nine (9) digits in length (with a minimum of seven (7) digits) and is entirely embedded within the other Social Security Number: "12345678" and "012345678."

Other Matches and Mismatches

Notwithstanding the fact that a policy is listed as a match in accordance with the foregoing rules, there will not be a reportable match if the Company is able to produce competent evidence to establish that the unique biological individual identified in the Company's data is not the same as a unique biological individual identified on the DMF or that the Company's insured is not in fact dead.

**SCHEDULE B
PARTICIPATING REGULATOR ADOPTION**

AFLAC

EXAMINATION RESOLUTION AGREEMENT

On behalf of _____, I, _____,
(Jurisdiction) (Chief Insurance Regulator)
hereby adopt, agree, and approve this Agreement.

BY: _____
(Signature)

_____ Initial here to signify agreement with the Schedule C

JURISDICTION: _____

TITLE: _____

DATE: _____

Please provide the following information as to how your jurisdiction's allocation of the Multi-State Examination Payment should be sent from the AFLAC Companies.

CONTACT NAME: _____

MAILING ADDRESS: _____

PAYMENT MADE TO: _____

Please return this form to:

Raquel Cano, Assistant to the General Counsel
Legal Division Office
California Department of Insurance
45 Fremont Street, 23rd Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Phone: 415-538-4372
Fax: 415-904-5889
Email: Raquel.Cano@insurance.ca.gov

SCHEDULE C

Addendum Concerning Recently Discovered Continental American Insurance Company Annuities

In connection with the multistate targeted market conduct examination ("Examination") called by the California Department of Insurance, Florida Office of Insurance Regulation, New Hampshire Insurance Department, North Dakota Insurance Department, and Pennsylvania Insurance Department as Lead States ("Lead States") on November 30, 2012, American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus ("Aflac") and Continental American Insurance Company ("CAIC") (collectively referred to as the "Companies") agreed to enter into a Regulatory Settlement Agreement ("RSA") concerning the Companies' settlement practices, procedures and policy administration relating to claims, and the use of the Social Security Death Master File. The RSA has intentionally been limited to life insurance and excludes annuities, the reason being that the Companies believed, in good faith, they had no active annuities. However, as the parties were completing negotiation of the terms of the RSA, the Companies discovered that a limited number of annuities remain on the books and records of CAIC. Because of the amount of time previously spent to reach mutually agreed to terms of the RSA, the Companies and the Lead States agree that it makes sense to separately address the CAIC annuity issue herein as the Companies have no plans to issue or administer other annuities.

This addendum shall provide additional terms and conditions between the Companies and the Lead States and the insurance departments executing a Participating State Adoption in the form set forth on Schedule B (the "Participating States") with respect to the remaining annuities on CAIC's books and records.

A. History of the CAIC Annuity Issue

As background, Aflac acquired CAIC in 2009. Both companies offer health and life insurance that is considered supplemental insurance. At the time of acquisition, CAIC did not have an active annuity business. However, the Companies have recently learned that a small number of active annuities remain on CAIC's books and records as a result of CAIC's purchase of a block of business in the 1980s from another company.

B. Status of the CAIC Annuities

As previously noted, the Companies have reported in good faith throughout the duration of the Examination that neither Aflac nor CAIC sold or administered annuities. However, the Companies' recent investigation regarding this issue shows as follows –

- CAIC reported 79 annuities on the 2016 NAIC Annual Statement. CAIC has since discovered that the number of annuities reported should have been 75 (72 annuitants as three people had two annuities each).

- The 72 annuitants were compared against the Social Security Administration's Death Master File ("DMF") between June 14 and June 17, 2017. Ten individuals were returned as potential matches. To validate whether any of the ten individuals were a "true match," additional research was performed by the Companies' Market Conduct team. Two individuals were determined to be deceased CAIC annuitants.
- The payment amounts for the two annuities are \$373.43 and \$4,255.71. These amounts represent the cash values of the annuities, and do not include interest.
- CAIC is in the process of locating the beneficiaries and/or estate representatives for the two deceased annuitants. For both annuitants, claim letters were mailed to the last known address of record on July 11, 2017 and August 11, 2017. CAIC will continue attempts to contact the beneficiaries and/or estate representatives via telephone or email, if available. To the extent CAIC is not able to contact a beneficiary, next of kin or estate representative, CAIC will remit the annuity amounts as unclaimed property in accordance with the RSA.

C. Adherence to the Terms of the RSA by CAIC with respect to the Annuities at Issue

The Companies shall apply the requirements of the previously agreed upon RSA to the two annuitants/annuities at issue, and any future annuities with benefits that may become payable upon the death of the annuitant. By signing in the designated area below, the Companies and the Lead States agree 1) to the terms and conditions stated above, and 2) Companies will apply the terms of the RSA to any of the remaining CAIC annuities with benefits that may become payable upon the death of the annuitant. Specifically, Companies will treat the 75 annuities in the same manner as a "Policy" (defined pursuant to Section 1(l) of the RSA), which shall be enforceable to the extent that the terms of the RSA may be made applicable to annuities benefits.

Participating States shall indicate their agreement with these terms by initialing a representative box on the Schedule B to the RSA.

COMPANIES

AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF COLUMBUS
CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

By: Audrey B. Till Date: 11/29/17
Audrey Tillman

Its: Executive Vice President, General Counsel

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

By: David Altmaier
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12/13/17

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By: Dave Jones

Date: 12-01-2017

DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____

Date: _____

JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____

Date: _____

JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____

Date: _____

ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

By: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By: *Dave Jones*
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12-01-2017

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: *JG*
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12/6/17

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

By: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By: *Dave Jones*
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12-01-2017

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: *Jessica K. Altman*
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12/05/2017

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

FLORIDA OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

By: _____
DAVID ALTMAIER, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By: *Dave Jones*
DAVE JONES, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12-01-2017

NORTH DAKOTA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
JON GODFREAD, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

PENNSYLVANIA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: _____
JESSICA ALTMAN, COMMISSIONER

Date: _____

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

By: *Ross J*
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER

Date: 12-7-17

**SCHEDULE B
PARTICIPATING REGULATOR ADOPTION**

AFLAC

EXAMINATION RESOLUTION AGREEMENT

On behalf of The Idaho Department of Insurance, I, Dean L. Cameron,
(Jurisdiction) (Chief Insurance Regulator)
hereby adopt, agree, and approve this Agreement.

BY: 
(Signature)

DLC Initial here to signify agreement with the Schedule C

JURISDICTION: Idaho Department of Insurance

TITLE: Director

DATE: 1/4/18

Please provide the following information as to how your jurisdiction's allocation of the Multi-State Examination Payment should be sent from the AFLAC Companies.

CONTACT NAME: October Nickel

MAILING ADDRESS: 700 W. State Street

Boise, Idaho 83702

PAYMENT MADE TO: _____

Idaho Department of Insurance

Please return this form to:

Raquel Cano, Assistant to the General Counsel
Legal Division Office
California Department of Insurance
45 Fremont Street, 23rd Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Phone: 415-538-4372
Fax: 415-904-5889
Email: Raquel.Cano@insurance.ca.gov

